

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,694	HEIBURG, KLAUS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742

All Participants:

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Harold Fullmer.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

29-40

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney agreed to cancel claims 29-40, drawn to non-elected invention in order to put claims in condition for allowance

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)